

A SHORT CONCEPTUAL PROOF OF NARAYANA'S PATH-COUNTING FORMULA

MIHAI CIUCU

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405

ABSTRACT. We deduce Narayana's formula for the number of lattice paths that fit in a Young diagram as a direct consequence of the Gessel-Viennot theorem on non-intersecting lattice paths.

Let λ and μ be two partitions so that $\mu \subset \lambda$, and consider the skew Young diagram λ/μ (see Figure 1 for an example). Let $N(\lambda/\mu)$ be the number of minimal lattice paths on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ contained in this skew Young diagram from its southwestern to its northeastern corner. We give a short conceptual proof for the following extension of Narayana's path-counting formula [4] due to Kreweras [3] (see also [5, Ch. II]; the special case $\mu = \emptyset$ yields Narayana's formula).

THEOREM 1 (KREWERAS [3]). *Let n be the number of parts of λ/μ . Then*

$$N(\lambda/\mu) = \det \left(\binom{\lambda_j - \mu_i + 1}{j - i + 1} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. The key to our proof is to consider the region R on the triangular lattice corresponding to λ/μ indicated by the the outside contour in Figure 2 — it is obtained from the Young diagram of λ/μ by affinely deforming it so that its unit squares become unit rhombi on the triangular lattice, and then translating the southeastern boundary one unit in the $-\pi/3$ polar direction. We argue that the number of tilings of R by unit rhombi (a.k.a. lozenge tilings) is equal to both $N(\lambda/\mu)$ and the determinant in (1).




FIGURE 1. The skew shape $(9, 7, 6, 2)/(3, 1)$.




FIGURE 2. The corresponding region R .

Indeed, recall that lozenge tilings of regions on the triangular lattice are in one-to-one correspondence with families on non-intersecting paths of rhombi (see [1]). The latter can be chosen in three different ways, depending on whether the segments where the paths of lozenges start and end point in the $-\pi/3$, $\pi/3$ or $-\pi$ polar directions. For the region R , the first of these three ways yields a single path of rhombi (lightly shaded in Figure 2), which can be regarded as a lattice path in λ/μ connecting the southwestern and northeastern corners. On the other hand, the second way yields a family of n non-intersecting paths of rhombi (shaded dark in Figure 2), which can be regarded as non-intersecting lattice paths on $\mathbb{Z}^2$. By the Gessel-Viennot theorem [2], their number is the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is the number of minimal lattice paths on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ from the i -th starting point to the j -th ending point (both counted from top to bottom). One readily checks that this is precisely the (i, j) -entry of the matrix in (1). $\square$

References

- [1] G. David and C. Tomei, The problem of the calissons, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **96** (1989), 429–431.

- [2] I. M. Gessel and X. Viennot, Binomial determinants, paths, and hook length formulae, *Adv. in Math.* **58** (1985), 300–321.
- [3] G. Kreweras, Sur une classe de problèmes dénombrement liés au treillis des partitions de entiers, *Cahiers du Bur. Univ. de Rech. Opér.* **6** (1965), 5–105.
- [4] T. V. Narayana, A combinatorial problem and its application to probability theory, *J. Indian Soc. Agr. Stat.* **7** (1955), 169–178.
- [5] T. V. Narayana, “Lattice path combinatorics with statistical applications,” *Mathematical Expositions* No. 23, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1979.